

**PUBLISHER CORRECTION**



# Publisher Correction to: Motivations and expectations for using cannabis products to treat pain in humans and dogs: a mixed methods study

Jean E. Wallace<sup>1\*</sup>, Lori R. Kogan<sup>2</sup>, Eloise C. J. Carr<sup>3</sup> and Peter W. Hellyer<sup>2</sup>

**Publisher Correction to: J Cannabis Res 2, 36 (2020)**

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s42238-020-00045-x>

Following publication of the original article (Wallace et al. 2020), the authors reported that the article had published with errors in some of the tables; data had been erroneously omitted from Tables 1, 2 and 6, and these tables had been incorrectly formatted.

The original article has since been updated to correct the tables.

Furthermore, please find the (corrected) tables in this correction for reference.

The publisher apologizes for this error and any inconvenience caused.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Sociology, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB T2N 1 N4, Canada. <sup>2</sup>College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA. <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Nursing, University of Calgary, 2500 University Dr NW, Calgary, AB T2N 1 N4, Canada.

Published online: 20 November 2020

## Reference

Wallace JE, Kogan LR, Carr ECJ, et al. Motivations and expectations for using cannabis products to treat pain in humans and dogs: a mixed methods study. *J Cannabis Res.* 2020;2:36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42238-020-00045-x>.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42238-020-00045-x>.

\* Correspondence: [jwallace@ucalgary.ca](mailto:jwallace@ucalgary.ca)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Sociology, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB T2N 1 N4, Canada

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](http://biomedcentral.com/submissions)



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

**Table 1** Comparisons by Causes and Length of Time with Chronic Pain for Human Patients versus Dog Patients

Human Patients (N = 313)		Dog Patients (N = 204)	
<b>Primary Cause of Chronic Pain</b>		<b>Primary Cause of Chronic Pain</b>	
Chronic Back Pain	48%(149)	Chronic Back Pain	22% (45)
Degenerative Joint Disease	17% (54)	Degenerative Joint Disease	45% (91)
Mouth Pain or Headache	17% (52)	Mouth Pain from Dental Disease	7% (14)
Other	18% (58)	Other	26% (54)
$\chi^2 (3, N = 571) = 67.22, p < .001$			
<b>Length of Time with Chronic Pain</b>		<b>Length of Time with Chronic Pain</b>	
< 1 year	18% (55)	< 1 year	36% (74)
1-5 years	47% (146)	1-3 years	55% (112)
> 5 years	35% (108)	> 3 years	9% (18)
$\chi^2 (2, N = 513) = 52.26, p < .001$			

**Table 2** Descriptive Information for Cannabis Products Used and Comparison for How Cannabis Products are Obtained for Human Patients and Dog Patients

Human Patients (N = 313)		Dog Patients (N = 204)	
<b>Type of Cannabis Product Used<sup>a</sup></b>		<b>Types of Cannabis Product Used<sup>a</sup></b>	
Marijuana/Cannabis (THC > 0.3%)	76% (237)	Marijuana/Cannabis (THC > 0.3%)	26% (53)
Hemp Isolate (THC < 0.3%)	49% (152)	Hemp Isolate (THC < 0.3%)	44% (89)
CBD/Hemp Broad or Full Spectrum	36% (113)	CBD/Hemp Broad or Full Spectrum	42% (88)
Not Sure	3% (9)	Not Sure	11% (22)
<b>Most Frequent Way of Obtaining Cannabis</b>		<b>Most Frequent Way of Obtaining Cannabis</b>	
Given by Friend or Family	33% (102)	Given by Friend or Family	11% (22)
Dispensary or Store	30% (92)	Dispensary or Store	25% (50)
Natural/Health Store/Service	12% (40)	Natural/Health Store/Service	25% (50)
Online Source	12% (36)	Online Source	34% (68)
Other	13% (43)	Other	5% (14)
$\chi^2 (4, N = 517) = 69.87, p < .001$			

<sup>a</sup>Participants could select more than one type of cannabis product

**Table 6** Percentages of Respondents Who Felt their Expectations were Met by Cannabis Products Used and Obtained

Human Patients (N = 313)		Dog Patients (N = 204)	
<b>Type of Cannabis Product Used<sup>a</sup></b>		<b>Types of Cannabis Product Used<sup>a</sup></b>	
Marijuana/Cannabis (THC > 0.3%)	88% (199)	Marijuana/Cannabis (THC > 0.3%)	94% (45)
Hemp Isolate (THC < 0.3%)	83% (120)	Hemp Isolate (THC < 0.3%)	76% (68)
CBD/Hemp Broad or Full Spectrum	90% (99)	CBD/Hemp Broad or Full Spectrum	87% (75)
Not Sure	67% (6)	Not Sure	68% (15)
<b>Most Frequent Way of Obtaining Cannabis</b>		<b>Most Frequent Way of Obtaining Cannabis</b>	
Given by Friend or Family	86% (88)	Given by Friend or Family	77% (17)
Dispensary or Store	85% (78)	Dispensary or Store	84% (42)
Natural/Health Store/Service	90% (36)	Natural/Health Store/Service	84% (42)
Online Source	78% (36)	Online Source	82% (56)
Other	80% (20)	Other	63% (9)

<sup>a</sup>Participants could select more than one type of cannabis product